Docket: : A.12-12-024 Exhibit Number : DRA-02 Commissioner : Sandoval ALJ : Kim Witness

: Lov



### **DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES** CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## **Report on the Results of Operations** for **Southwest Gas Corporation General Rate Case** Test Year 2014

Summary of Earnings and Allocation Factors for System Allocable Costs

> San Francisco, California June 3, 2013

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAP.	TER 1	1-1
SOUT	HERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION	1-1
I.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	1-1
III.	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS	1-2
CHAP	TER 2	2-1
NORT	HERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION	2-1
I.	INTRODUCTION	2-1
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	2-1
IV.	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS	2-2
CHAP	TER 3	3-1
SOUT	H LAKE TAHOE DISTRICT	3-1
I.	INTRODUCTION	3-1
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	3-1
V.	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS	3-2
CHAP	TER 4	4-5
ALLO	CATION FACTORS FOR SYSTEM ALLOCABLE COSTS.	4-5
I.	INTRODUCTION	4-5
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	4-5
III.	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS	4-5
VI.	QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESS	4-6

# CHAPTER 1 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION SUMMARY OF EARNINGS

#### 4 I. INTRODUCTION

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

This chapter presents the Division of Ratepayer Advocates' (DRA) Summary of Earnings at present and proposed rates for Southwest Gas Corporation's (SWG) Southern California Division for Test Year (TY) 2014. Revenue requirements are calculated by a computer model designed for SWG and is referred to as "the Results of Operations" (RO) model. A separate RO model is prepared for each of SWG's service territories, or Divisions, in the jurisdiction of California because each Division is subject to different, sometimes unique, tariff schedules. Data inputs prepared by DRA's assigned witnesses are entered into the spreadsheets comprising its RO model to produce annual revenue requirements. These inputs and the resulting proposed revenue requirement at the Operating Margin are then used to derive DRA's rate design. For the purposes of this chapter and to inform decision makers, these results, the Summary of Earnings, are compared with those from SWG's RO model. The comparison between DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Present Rate Revenues is shown on Table 2-A. The comparison for DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Proposed Revenues is shown on Table 2-B.

#### II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- The following bullets summarize DRA's key adjustments to SWG's showing for the Southern California Division in TY 2014:
  - DRA recommends that the Commission adopt DRA's projections for cost of capital, expenses, and rate base as shown in Tables 2-A and 2-B, because they constitute the foundation for DRA's recommended Test Year 2014 revenue requirement.

 DRA recommends the Commission adopt its net-to-gross multiplier of 1.71289 for the Southern California Division rather than SWG's proposal of 1.71516.

#### III. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS

1

2

3

4

15

5 The differences between DRA and SWG reflected in the accompanying 6 Summary of Earnings tables are addressed in detail in the appropriate DRA exhibits. 7 For example, the difference in the net-to-gross multiplier factor between DRA and 8 SWG is reflected as part of the dollar and percentage differences in the row for 9 Customer Accounts at both present and proposed rate revenues. This is 10 attributable to two things. They are: 1) the opposing methodologies developed by 11 DRA versus SWG for deriving the Uncollectible Expenses component of the net-to-12 gross factor and 2) to the dollar differences in total revenues to which the respective 13 factor is applied. This difference, in particular aspect 1), is explained in detail in 14 Exhibit DRA-4.

			ible 2-A RATE REVEN	1L	IES			
			N CALIFOR					
			ST YEAR 20					
Line		L	DRA		SWG		SWG Exce	
No.	Description	R	ecommendation	n	- ' '		Dollars	Percentag
		H	(a)		(b)		(c)	(d)
		H					(b)-(a)	[(b)-(a)]/(a
1	Operating Poyenus	¢	102,408,473	Ф	102 409 472	¢.	0	0.00%
2	Operating Revenue Gas Cost	Φ	40,511,505	Φ	40,511,505	Φ	0	0.00%
3	Operating Margin	\$		Ф			0	0.00%
3	Operating Margin	φ	01,090,900	φ	01,890,908	H	0	0.0076
	Operating Expenses	H						
4	Other Gas Supply	\$	165,677	\$	165,677	\$	0	0.00%
5	Distribution	Ψ	13,218,369	Ψ	13,928,381	Ψ	710,012	5.37%
6	Customer Accounts		4,763,091		4,844,489		81,399	1.71%
7	Customer Service & Information		177,528		177,528		0	0.00%
	Administrative and General		,		111,000			0.0070
9	Southern California Division		1,709,436		1,758,796		49,360	2.89%
10	System Allocable		9,014,421		9,014,421		0	0.00%
	Depreciation and Amortization		-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-,-:,-=:			0.0070
11	Southern California Division		10,922,922		11,353,233		430,311	3.94%
12	System Allocable		1,284,573		1,284,573		0	0.00%
13	Regulatory Amortization	T	79,019		79,019		0	0.00%
14	Property and Payroll Taxes		3,102,497		3,185,068		82,570	2.66%
	Escalation		, , ,				,	
15	Labor		819,738		833,270		13,532	1.65%
16	Labor Loading		472,587		522,509		49,922	10.56%
17	Materials and Expenses	Т	590,941		620,867		29,926	5.06%
	Income Taxes				,			
18	State		1,019,133		1,065,718		46,585	4.57%
19	Federal		4,287,663		3,799,811		(487,852)	-11.38%
20	Total Operating Expenses	\$	51,627,594	\$	52,633,359		1,005,765	1.95%
21	Net Operating Income	\$	10,269,374	\$	9,263,609		(1,005,765)	-9.79%
	Rate Base	H						
	Gas Plant in Service							
22	Southern California Division	\$	358,865,381	\$	369,438,377	\$	10,572,997	2.95%
23	System Allocable		19,231,341		19,231,341		0	0.00%
24	Total Gross Plant	\$	378,096,722	\$	388,669,718		10,572,997	2.80%
	Accumulated Provision for	H						
-	Depreciation and Amortization	H						
25	Southern California Division	2	183,920,226	\$	187.595.944	\$	3,675,717	2.00%
26	System Allocable	Ψ	11,764,567	Ψ	11,764,567	Ψ	0	0.00%
27	Total Accum Prov for D&A	\$	195,684,794	\$	199,360,511	Г	3,675,717	1.88%
20	Net Plant in Service	4	100 444 000	σ	100 200 207		6 007 070	2.700/
28	Net Plant in Service	Ф	182,411,928	Ф	189,309,207		6,897,279	3.78%
	Other Rate Base							
29	Working Capital (add)	\$	13,420,208	\$	13,694,031	\$	273,824	2.04%
30	Materials and Supplies (add)	-	855,000		1,043,391		188,391	22.03%
31	Customer Advances (deduct)	H	2,747,000		1,360,027		-1,386,973	-50.49%
32	Deferred Taxes (deduct)		34,533,495	_	31,829,515	L	-2,703,981	-7.83%
33	Total Other Rate Base	\$	(23,005,288)	\$	(18,452,120)		4,553,168	-19.79%
34	Rate Base	\$	159,406,640	\$	170,857,087	\$	11,450,447	7.18%
35	Rate of Return	H	6.442%		5.422%		(102.04)	-15.84%
		т					Basis Points	

Table 2-B
PROPOSED RATE REVENUES
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EOD TEST VEAD 2014

Line			DRA		SWG		SWG Exc	eeds DRA
No.	Description	R	ecommendation	on	Application		Dollars	Percentage
			(a)		(b)		(c )	(d)
							(b)-(a)	[(b)-(a)]/(a)
1	Operating Revenue	\$	101,996,385	\$	107,963,614	\$	5,967,229	5.85%
2	Gas Cost		40,511,505		40,511,505		0	0.00%
3	Operating Margin	\$	61,484,880	\$	67,452,109		5,967,229	9.71%
	Operating Expenses							
4	Other Gas Supply	\$	165,677	\$	165,677	\$	0	0.00%
5	Distribution		13,218,369		13,928,381		710,012	5.37%
6	Customer Accounts		4,761,743		4,869,956		108,212	2.27%
7	Customer Service & Information		177,528		177,528		0	0.00%
	Administrative and General							
9	Southern California Division		1,704,694		1,822,717		118,022	6.92%
10	System Allocable		9,014,421		9,014,421		0	0.00%
	Depreciation and Amortization							
11	Southern California Division		10,922,922	П	11,353,233		430,311	3.94%
12	System Allocable		1,284,573		1,284,573		0	0.00%
13	Regulatory Amortization		79,019	П	79,019		0	0.00%
14	Property and Payroll Taxes		3,102,497		3,185,068		82,570	2.66%
15	Escalation		040 720	Н	022.270		12 522	1 CE0/
15	Labor		819,738	Н	833,270		13,532	1.65%
16	Labor Loading		472,587	Н	522,509		49,922	10.56%
17	Materials and Expenses		590,941	Н	620,867		29,926	5.06%
	Income Taxes			Н				
18	State		988,248	Н	1,548,850		560,602	56.73%
19	Federal		4,156,306		5,543,570	_	1,387,263	33.38%
20	Total Operating Expenses	\$	51,459,264	_	54,949,637		3,490,374	6.78%
21	Net Operating Income	\$	10,025,531	\$	12,502,461	-	2,476,930	24.71%
	Rate Base							
	Gas Plant in Service							
22	Southern California Division	\$	358,865,381	\$	369,438,377	\$	10,572,997	2.95%
23	System Allocable		19,231,341		19,231,341		0	0.00%
24	Total Gross Plant	\$	378,096,722	\$	388,669,718	_	10,572,997	2.80%
	Accumulated Provision for							
	Depreciation and Amortization							
25	Southern California Division	\$	183,920,226	\$	187,595,944	\$	3,675,717	2.00%
26	System Allocable		11,764,567		11,764,567		0	0.00%
27	Total Accum Prov for D&A	\$	195,684,794	\$	199,360,511		3,675,717	1.88%
28	Net Plant in Service	\$	182,411,928	\$	189,309,207		6,897,279	3.78%
	Other Rate Base							
29	Working Capital (add)	\$	13,434,160	\$	13,731,305	\$	297,145	2.21%
30	Materials and Supplies (add)		855,000		1,043,391		188,391	22.03%
31	Customer Advances (deduct)		2,747,000		1,360,027		-1,386,973	-50.49%
32	Deferred Taxes (deduct)	Ĺ	34,533,495		31,829,515		-2,703,981	-7.83%
33	Total Other Rate Base	\$	(22,991,335)	\$	(18,414,846)		4,576,489	-19.91%
34	Rate Base	\$	159,420,592	\$	170,894,361	\$	11,473,769	7.20%
35	Rate of Return		6.29%	H	7.32%		102.72	16.33%
				П		1	Basis Points	

# CHAPTER 2 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION SUMMARY OF EARNINGS I. INTRODUCTION This chapter presents the Division of Ratepayer Advocates

This chapter presents the Division of Ratepayer Advocates' (DRA) Summary of Earnings at present and proposed rates for Southwest Gas Corporation's (SWG) Northern California Division for Test Year (TY) 2014. Revenue requirements are calculated by a computer model designed for SWG and is referred to as "the Results of Operations" (RO) model. A separate RO model is prepared for each of SWG's service territories, or Divisions, in the jurisdiction of California because each Division is subject to different, sometimes unique, tariff schedules. Data inputs prepared by DRA's assigned witnesses are entered into the spreadsheets comprising its RO model to produce annual revenue requirements. These inputs and the resulting proposed revenue requirement at the Operating Margin are then used to derive DRA's rate design. For the purposes of this chapter and to inform decision makers, these results, the Summary of Earnings, are compared with those from SWG's RO model.

The comparison between DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Present

The comparison between DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Present Rate Revenues is shown on Table 2-C. A comparison of DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Proposed Revenues is shown on Table 2-D.

#### II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- The following bullets summarize DRA's key adjustments to SWG's showing for the Northern California Division in TY 2014:
  - DRA recommends that the Commission adopt DRA's projections for cost of capital, expenses, and rate base as shown in Tables 2-C and 2-D, because they constitute the foundation for DRA's recommended Test Year 2014 revenue requirement.

 DRA recommends the Commission adopt its net-to-gross multiplier of 1.71201 for the Northern California Division rather than SWG's proposal of 1.71227.

#### IV. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS

The differences between DRA and SWG reflected in the accompanying Summary of Earnings tables are addressed in detail in the appropriate DRA exhibits. For example, the difference in the net-to-gross multiplier factor between DRA and SWG is reflected as part of the dollar and percentage differences in the row for Customer Accounts at both present and proposed rate revenues. This is attributable to two things. They are: 1) the opposing methodologies developed by DRA versus SWG for deriving the Uncollectible Expenses component of the net-to-gross factor and 2) to the dollar differences in Operating Margin Revenues, as generated by the DRA's versus SWG's RO model, to which the respective factor is applied. This difference, in particular aspect 1), is explained in detail in Exhibit DRA-4.

			ble 2-C				
			ATE REVE				
			N CALIFOR				
	FOR I	E	ST YEAR 20	14			
Line		H	DRA		SWG	SWG Exce	ade DRA
No.	Description	R	ecommendation	on	Application	Dollars	Percentage
	2000р	Ė	(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)
			(-)		(2)	(b)-(a)	[(b)-(a)]/(a)
1	Operating Revenue	\$	29,822,755	\$	29,822,755	0	0.00%
2	Gas Cost	Ť	14,668,006	Ť	14,668,006	0	0.00%
3	Operating Margin	\$	15,154,749	\$	15,154,749	0	0.00%
	Operating Expenses	H		H			
4	Other Gas Supply	\$	29,923	Ф	29,923	0	0.00%
5	Distribution	φ	1,704,461	φ	1,896,940	192,479	11.29%
6	Customer Accounts		715,706	Н	813,203	97,497	13.62%
7	Customer Service & Information					97,497	0.00%
-	Administrative and General		32,063		32,063	U	0.00%
9	Northern California Division		358,464		359,675	1,212	0.34%
10	System Allocable		1,600,139		1,600,139	0	0.00%
	Depreciation and Amortization		.,,		.,,		2.3070
11	Northern California Division		3,371,365		3,322,013	(49,352)	-1.46%
12	System Allocable		237,277		237,277	0	0.00%
13	Regulatory Amortization		14,596		14,596	0	0.00%
14	Property and Payroll Taxes Escalation		951,856		1,080,885	129,029	13.56%
15	Labor		111,171		115,218	4,047	3.64%
16	Labor Loading		63,196		70,532	7,336	11.61%
17	Materials and Expenses		108,880		121,949	13,069	12.00%
	Income Taxes						
18	State		271,967		332,678	60,711	22.32%
19	Federal		1,352,568	L	1,192,959	(159,609)	-11.80%
20	Total Operating Expenses	\$	10,923,632		11,220,051	296,419	2.71%
21	Net Operating Income	\$	4,231,117	\$	3,934,698	(296,419)	-7.01%
	Rate Base						
	Gas Plant in Service						
22	Northern California Division	\$	126,500,079	\$	124,959,636	(1,540,444)	-1.22%
23	System Allocable		3,552,280		3,552,280	0	0.00%
24	Total Gross Plant	\$	130,052,360	\$	128,511,916	(1,540,444)	-1.18%
	Accumulated Provision for						
	Depreciation and Amortization						
25	Northern California Division	\$	42,867,185	\$	42,510,665	(356,521)	-0.83%
26	System Allocable		2,173,069		2,173,069	0	0.00%
27	Total Accum Prov for D&A	\$	45,040,255	\$	44,683,734	(356,521)	-0.79%
28	Net Plant in Service	\$	85,012,105	\$	83,828,182	(1,183,923)	-1.39%
	Other Rate Base						
20	Working Capital (add)	\$	1 600 500	\$	1,895,133	106 544	11 570/
30	Materials and Supplies (add)	Φ	1,698,589 271,000	Φ	296,497	196,544 25,497	11.57% 9.41%
31	Customer Advances (deduct)		674,000		289,019	(384,981)	-57.12%
32	Deferred Taxes (deduct)		26,080,828		18,080,735	(8,000,093)	-30.67%
33	Total Other Rate Base	\$	(24,785,239)	\$		8,607,114	-34.73%
34	Rate Base	\$	60,226,866	\$	67,650,057	7,423,191	12.33%
35	Rate of Return	H	0	١.,	5.816%	(121)	-17.21%
						Basis Points	

			Table 2-D	)			
	PROP	0	SED RATE	RE	EVENUES		
	NO	R٦	THERN CAL	.IF	ORNIA		
	FO	ЭF	R TEST YEA	<b>R</b>	2014		
				L			
Line			DRA		SWG	SWG Exce	
No.	Description	R	ecommendation	on	Application	Dollars	Percentage
			(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)
						(b)-(a)	[(b)-(a)]/(a)
1	Operating Revenue	\$	30,534,129	\$	33,059,135	\$ 2,525,006	8.27%
2	Gas Cost	Ψ	14,668,006	Ψ	14,668,006	0	0.00%
3	Operating Margin	\$	15,866,123	\$	18,391,129	2.525.006	15.91%
U	Operating Margin	Ψ	10,000,120	Ψ	10,001,120	2,020,000	10.0170
	Operating Expenses						
4	Other Gas Supply	\$	29,923	\$	29,923	\$ 0	0.00%
5	Distribution		1,704,461		1,896,940	192,479	11.29%
6	Customer Accounts		716,647		817,979	101,332	14.14%
7	Customer Service & Information		32,063		32,063	0	0.00%
	Administrative and General						
9	Northern California Division		367,656		401,496	33,840	9.20%
10	System Allocable		1,600,139		1,600,139	0	0.00%
	Depreciation and Amortization						
11	Northern California Division		3,371,365		3,322,013	(49,352)	-1.46%
12	System Allocable		237,277		237,277	0	0.00%
13	Regulatory Amortization		14,596		14,596	0	0.00%
14	Property and Payroll Taxes		951,856		1,080,885	129,029	13.56%
	Escalation				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	
15	Labor		111,171		115,218	4,047	3.64%
16	Labor Loading	П	63,196		70,532	7,336	11.61%
17	Materials and Expenses		108,880		121,949	13,069	12.00%
	Income Taxes		,		, -	-,	
18	State		317,966		614,655	296,688	93.31%
19	Federal		1,582,665		2,210,691	628,027	39.68%
20	Total Operating Expenses	\$	11,209,862	\$		1,356,495	12.10%
21	Net Operating Income	\$	4,657,124	_	5,824,809	1,167,685	25.07%
	, 0	Ė	· · · ·	Ť			
	Rate Base						
	Gas Plant in Service						
22	Northern California Division	\$	126,500,079	\$	124,959,636	\$(1,540,444)	-1.22%
23	System Allocable		3,552,280		3,552,280	0	0.00%
24	Total Gross Plant	\$	130,052,360	\$	128,511,916	(1,540,444)	-1.18%
				L			
	Accumulated Provision for						
05	Depreciation and Amortization	•	40 007 405	•	40.540.005	Φ (050 504)	0.000/
25	Northern California Division	\$	42,867,185	\$	42,510,665	\$ (356,521)	-0.83%
26	System Allocable	Φ.	2,173,069	Φ.	2,173,069	0	0.00%
27	Total Accum Prov for D&A	\$	45,040,255	\$	44,683,734	(356,521)	-0.79%
28	Net Plant in Service	\$	85,012,105	\$	83,828,182	(1,183,923)	-1.39%
	Not I failt in Colvice	Ψ	00,012,100	Ψ	00,020,102	(1,100,020)	1.0070
	Other Rate Base						
29	Working Capital (add)	\$	1,621,389	\$	1,895,177	\$ 273,788	16.89%
30	Materials and Supplies (add)		271,000		296,497	25,497	9.41%
31	Customer Advances (deduct)		674,000		289,019	(384,981)	-57.12%
32	Deferred Taxes (deduct)		26,080,828		18,080,735	(8,000,093)	-30.67%
33	Total Other Rate Base	\$	(24,862,439)	\$		8,684,358	-34.93%
34	Rate Base	\$	60,149,666	\$	67,650,101	\$ 7,500,435	12.47%

35

Rate of Return

7.74%

8.61%

86.76

Basis Points

11.21%

# CHAPTER 3 SOUTH LAKE TAHOE DISTRICT SUMMARY OF EARNINGS

#### I. INTRODUCTION

4

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5 This chapter presents the Division of Ratepayer Advocates' (DRA) Summary 6 of Earnings at present and proposed rates for Southwest Gas Corporation's (SWG) 7 South Lake Tahoe District for Test Year (TY) 2014. Revenue requirements are 8 calculated by a computer model designed for SWG and is referred to as "the Results 9 of Operations" (RO) model. A separate RO model is prepared for each of SWG's 10 service territories, or Divisions, in the jurisdiction of California because each Division 11 is subject to different, sometimes unique, tariff schedules. Data inputs prepared by 12 DRA's assigned witnesses are entered into the spreadsheets comprising its RO 13 model to produce annual revenue requirements. These inputs and the resulting 14 proposed revenue requirement at the Operating Margin are then used to derive 15 DRA's rate design. For the purposes of this chapter and to inform decision makers, 16 these results, the Summary of Earnings, are compared with those from SWG's RO 17 model. 18 The comparison between DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Present

The comparison between DRA's and SWG's Summary of Earnings at Presentate Revenues is shown on Table 2-E. A comparison of DRA and SWG Summary of Earnings at Proposed Revenues is shown on Table 2-F.

#### II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- The following bullets summarize DRA's key adjustments to SWG's showing for the Northern California Division in TY 2014:
  - DRA recommends that the Commission adopt DRA's projections for cost of capital, expenses, and rate base as shown in Tables 2-E and 2-F, because they constitute the foundation for DRA's recommended Test Year 2014 revenue requirement.

 DRA recommends the Commission adopt its net-to-gross multiplier of 1.71201 for the Northern California Division rather than SWG's proposal of 1.71227.

#### V. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS

1

2

3

4

5 The differences between DRA and SWG reflected in the accompanying 6 Summary of Earnings tables are addressed in detail in the appropriate DRA exhibits. 7 For example, the difference in the net-to-gross multiplier factor between DRA and 8 SWG is reflected as part of the dollar and percentage differences in the row for 9 Customer Accounts at both present and proposed rate revenues. This is attributable to two things. They are: 1) the opposing methodologies developed by DRA versus 10 11 SWG for deriving the Uncollectible Expenses component of the net-to-gross factor 12 and 2) to the dollar differences in Operating Margin Revenues, as generated by the 13 DRA's versus SWG's RO model, to which the respective factor is applied. This 14 difference, in particular aspect 1), is explained in detail in Exhibit DRA-4.

			Table 2-E				
			RATE RE				
		_	H LAKE TA		0E		
	FOR TI	ES	T YEAR 20°	14			
Line		Н	DRA		SWG	SWG Exce	eds DRA
No.	Description	Re	ecommendation	าก	Application	Dollars	Percentage
	2 coonplicit		(a)		(b)	(c )	(d)
			(,		(-)	(b)-(a)	[(b)-(a)]/(a)
1	Operating Revenue	\$	19,899,114	\$	19,899,114	0	0.00%
2	Gas Cost	Ψ	13,789,466	Ψ	13,789,466	0	0.00%
3	Operating Margin	\$	6,109,648	\$	6,109,648	0	0.00%
	Operating Expenses	Н					
4	Other Gas Supply	\$	23,103	\$	23,102	(1)	0.00%
5	Distribution	Ψ	1,762,561	Ψ	2,303,465	540,904	30.69%
6	Customer Accounts	Н	399,980		531,677	131,696	32.93%
7	Customer Service & Information	Н	24,756		24,755	(1)	0.00%
-	Administrative and General	Н	24,730		24,733	(1)	0.0076
9	South Lake Tahoe Division	Н	168,167		168,201	34	0.02%
10	System Allocable	Н	828,607		828,607	0	0.00%
10	Depreciation and Amortization	H	020,007		020,007	0	0.0070
11	South Lake Tahoe Division	Н	1,098,590		1,098,763	173	0.02%
12	System Allocable	Н	132,170		132,170	0	0.00%
13	Regulatory Amortization	Н	8,130		8,130	0	0.00%
14	Property and Payroll Taxes	Н	421,119		421,119	0	0.00%
14	Escalation	Н	421,119		421,119	U	0.00%
15	Labor	П	76,352		79,512	3,160	4.14%
16	Labor Loading	П	43,840		49,583	5,743	13.10%
17	Materials and Expenses	П	89,010		129,230	40,221	45.19%
	Income Taxes	П	,		-,	-,	
18	State	П	34,178		(25,067)	(59,245)	-173.34%
19	Federal	П	184,644		(90,473)	(275,117)	-149.00%
20	Total Operating Expenses	\$	5,295,206	\$	5,682,773	387,567	7.32%
21	Net Operating Income	\$	814,442	_	426,875	(387,567)	-47.59%
	Rate Base						
	Gas Plant in Service	Н					
22	South Lake Tahoe Division	\$	39,504,441	\$	39,510,567	6,126	0.02%
23	System Allocable	Ψ	1,978,710	Ψ	1,978,710	0	0.00%
24	Total Gross Plant	\$	41,483,151	\$	41,489,277	6,126	0.01%
	Total Cioso Flam	<b>—</b>	,,	Ψ.	,,	0,120	0.0.70
	Accumulated Provision for	П					
	Depreciation and Amortization	П					
25	South Lake Tahoe Division	\$	13,999,218	\$	13,999,305	86	0.00%
26	System Allocable	Ť	1,210,455	,	1,210,455	0	0.00%
27	Total Accum Prov for D&A	\$	15,209,673	\$	15,209,759	86	0.00%
28	Net Plant in Service	\$	26,273,478	\$	26,279,517	6,040	0.02%
	Other Rate Base	Ш					
29	Working Capital (add)	\$	2,390,323	\$	2,473,366	83,043	3.47%
30	Materials and Supplies (add)	Ш	208,000		229,774	21,774	10.47%
31	Customer Advances (deduct)	Ш	125		112	(13)	-10.00%
32	Deferred Taxes (deduct)	Ш	12,154,980	Ш	5,292,867	(6,862,112)	-56.46%
33	Total Other Rate Base	\$	(9,556,781)	\$	(2,589,840)	6,966,942	-72.90%
34	Rate Base	\$	16,716,696	\$	23,689,678	\$ 6,972,981	41.71%
35	Rate of Return	H	4.87%	H	1.80%	(307.01)	-63.01%
	Trace of Notarii		T.U1 /0		1.00 /0	(001.01)	00.0170

Table 2-F
PROPOSED RATE REVENUES
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE
FOR TEST YEAR 2014

Line			DRA	$\Box$	SWG	SWG Exc	eeds DRA	
No.	Description	R	ecommendati	on	Application	Dollars	Percentage	
140.	Becompaign		(a)		(b)	(c )	(d)	
			(α)		(5)	(b)-(a)	[(b)-(a)]/(a)	
1	Operating Revenue	\$	20,694,064	\$	22,660,761	1,966,698	9.50%	
2	Gas Cost	Ψ	13,789,466	Ψ.	13,789,466	0	0.00%	
3	Operating Margin	\$	6,904,598	\$	8,871,295	1,966,698	28.48%	
	oporating margin	Ψ	0,001,000	Ψ	0,071,200	1,000,000	20.1070	
	Operating Expenses		0					
4	Other Gas Supply	\$	23,103	\$	23,102	(1)	0.00%	
5	Distribution		1,762,561		2,303,465	540,904	30.69%	
6	Customer Accounts		401,032		535,752	134,720	33.59%	
7	Customer Service & Information		24,756		24,755	(1)	0.00%	
	Administrative and General							
9	South Lake Tahoe Division		178,439		203,887	25,448	14.26%	
10	System Allocable		828,607		828,607	0	0.00%	
	Depreciation and Amortization							
11	South Lake Tahoe Division		1,098,590		1,098,763	173	0.02%	
12	System Allocable		132,170		132,170	0	0.00%	
13	Regulatory Amortization		8,130	П	8,130	0	0.00%	
14	Property and Payroll Taxes		421,119		421,119	0	0.00%	
	Escalation			Ш				
15	Labor		76,352		79,512	3,160	4.14%	
16	Labor Loading		43,840		49,583	5,743	13.10%	
17	Materials and Expenses		89,010		129,230	40,221	45.19%	
	Income Taxes							
18	State		81,891		215,548	133,657	163.21%	
19	Federal		442,403		777,972	335,569	75.85%	
20	Total Operating Expenses	\$	5,612,002	\$	6,831,594	1,219,593	21.73%	
21	Net Operating Income	\$	1,292,815	\$	2,039,732	746,917	57.77%	
	Rate Base			Н				
	Gas Plant in Service							
22	South Lake Tahoe Division	\$	39,504,441	Ф	20 510 567	6,126	0.02%	
_		Ф		Φ	39,510,567	0, 120		
23	System Allocable Total Gross Plant	\$	1,978,710 41,483,151	\$	1,978,710 41,489,277	6,126	0.00% 0.01%	
		Ì	,, -	Ť	,,	-,		
	Accumulated Provision for							
	<b>Depreciation and Amortization</b>							
25	South Lake Tahoe Division	\$	13,999,218	\$	13,999,305	86	0.00%	
26	System Allocable		1,210,455		1,210,455	0	0.00%	
27	Total Accum Prov for D&A	\$	15,209,673	\$	15,209,759	86	0.00%	
28	Net Plant in Service	\$	26,273,478	\$_	26,279,517	6,040	0.02%	
	Other Date Dage							
20	Other Rate Base	t.	0.074.440	th.	0.470.404	100.000	4.040/	
29	Working Capital (add)	\$	2,371,142	Ф	2,473,404	102,262	4.31%	
30	Materials and Supplies (add) Customer Advances (deduct)		208,000	+	229,774	21,774	10.47%	
31	,		12 154 090	+	112	(13)	-10.00%	
32 33	Deferred Taxes (deduct) Total Other Rate Base	\$	12,154,980	Φ	5,292,867	(6,862,112)	-56.46%	
<b>33</b>	Total Other Rate base	Φ	(9,575,963)	Φ	(2,589,802)	6,986,161	-72.96%	
34	Rate Base	\$	16,697,515	\$	23,689,716	6,992,201	41.88%	
35	Rate of Return	Н	7.74%		8.61%	87	11.21%	
						Basis Points		

#### CHAPTER 4 1 ALLOCATION FACTORS FOR SYSTEM ALLOCABLE COSTS 2 3 I. INTRODUCTION 4 This chapter presents DRA's analyses and recommendations regarding the 5 allocation factors used to allocate SWG's common, or "System Allocable," 6 Administrative and General (A&G) expenses, plant, depreciation expense and 7 reserve estimates for TY 2014 to the utility's three Divisions under California 8 jurisdiction. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS 9 II. 10 DRA recommends that the Commission adopt SWG's proposed allocation factors derived using the 4-Factor method and recorded 2011 data. 1 11 12 III. **DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS** SWG has been using what is commonly referred to as the 4-Factor<sup>2</sup> for 13 14 allocating common plant, administrative and general expenses, and depreciation. 15 The four factors are: (1) direct operating expenses: (2) average direct gas plant-in-16 service; (3) direct labor and (4) average number of customers. They are equally 17 weighted by Division to arrive at a single factor for each Division for each recorded 18 year. The same 2011 factor is used for the 2012 through 2014 allocation factor. 19 After review and updating, DRA does not take issue with either the use of the 4-20 Factor method or the use of 2011 data for the TY allocation factors. 21 The uncontested allocation factors for TY 2014 are:

Factor

Northern California

1.48%

22

23

North Lake Tahoe

1.06%

Southern California

7.97%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SWG's Ch. 8C, "Allocation Factors," see Sheet 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CPUC Standard Practice U-6-W for Water Utilities and D.08-11-048, O.P. 1 and "Joint Motion, Exhibit 1, Settlement Agreement, pp. 39-40.

#### 1 VI. QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESS

- 2 Q.1 Please state your name and address.
- 3 A.1 My name is Mark Robert Loy. My business address is 505 Van Ness
- 4 Avenue, San Francisco, California.
- 5 Q.2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 6 A.2 I am employed by the California Public Utilities Commission as a Public
- 7 Utilities Regulatory Analyst V in the Division of Ratepayer Advocates Energy Cost of
- 8 Service and Natural Gas Branch.
- 9 Q.3 Briefly describe your educational background and work experience.
- 10 A.3 I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from the University of
- 11 California, Santa Cruz in 1980. While attending the University I was employed as a
- teacher assistant and as a research assistant. After graduation, I worked as an
- accountant for a partnership of Certified Public Accountants. In 1982, I joined the
- 14 California Public Utilities Commission. Initially, I was assigned to the economics
- department. Most of my work there concentrated on telecommunication and energy
- general rate case proceedings. Presently, I am assigned to the Division of
- 17 Ratepayer Advocates.
- My primary responsibilities in the past have been to review, investigate,
- analyze, and make recommendations in such areas as cost-benefit analysis,
- 20 financial analysis, capital additions and expense forecasting, labor inflation, non-
- 21 labor inflation, econometric forecasting, and pensions and benefits expenses. I have
- 22 prepared, sponsored, and presented direct testimony on cost-benefit analysis,
- 23 capital additions and expense forecasting, decommissioning expenses and
- 24 financing, labor inflation, non-labor inflation, sales and revenues, and pensions and
- benefits expenses in various proceedings of all major California energy,
- telecommunications, and water utilities.
- 27 Q.4 What is your area of responsibility in this proceeding?
- 28 A.4 I am responsible for Exhibit DRA-02, Summary of Earnings and Allocation
- 29 Factors.
- 30 Q.5 Does that complete your prepared testimony?
- 31 A.5 Yes, it does.